arXiv:1612.03282v1 [math.OA] 10 Dec 2016

SOME REMARKS ON DERIVATIONS ON THE ALGEBRA OF OPERATORS IN HILBERT PRO-C*-BIMODULES

KH. KARIMI AND K. SHARIFI

Abstract. Suppose A is a pro-C*-algebra. Let $L_A(E)$ be the pro-C*-algebra of adjointable operators on a Hilbert A-module E and let $K_A(E)$ be the closed two sided $*$-ideal of all compact operators on E. We prove that if E be a full Hilbert A-module, the innerness of derivations on $K_A(E)$ implies the innerness of derivations on $L_A(E)$. We show that if A is a commutative pro-C*-algebra and E is a Hilbert A-bimodule then every derivation on $K_A(E)$ is zero. Moreover, if A is a commutative σ-C*-algebra and E is a Hilbert A-bimodule then every derivation on $L_A(E)$ is zero, too.

1. Introduction

A *derivation* on an algebra A is a linear mapping D from A into itself, such that $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. We say that D is *inner* if there exists $x \in A$ such that $D(a) = [a, x] = ax - xa$ for every $a \in A$. An important question in the theory of derivations is that on which algebras and under what conditions, a derivation is inner or is identically zero. In 1955, Singer and Wermer [18] proved that every derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical, in particular, every continuous derivation on a semisimple algebra is identically zero. It is well-known that the Singer-Wermer theorem is not valid for general topological algebras [16, Corollary 2.2.4]. In 1992, Becker [2] studied derivations on a special class of topological algebras, known as pro-C*-algebras, and proved that the Singer-Wermer theorem is true for commutative pro-C*-algebras. In this paper we investigate derivations on the pro-C*-algebra $L_A(E)$ of all adjointable operators on a Hilbert pro-C*-bimodule E.

Let us quickly recall the definition of pro-C*-algebras and Hilbert modules over them. A pro-C*-algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological $*$-algebra A whose topology is determined by its continuous C*-seminorms in the sense that the net $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$ converges to 0 if and only if the net $\{p(a_i)\}_{i\in I}$ converges to 0 for every continuous C*-seminorm p on A. For example the algebra $C(X)$ of all continuous complex valued functions on a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L05, 46H25, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert pro-C*-bimodule, pro-C*-algebra, derivation, compact operator.

compactly generated space or a CW complex X, the cartesian product $\prod_{\alpha\in I} A_\alpha$ of C*-algebras A_α with the product topology are pro-C*-algebras [4, §7.6]. A *σ-C*-algebra* is a pro-C*-algebra whose topology is determined by a countable family of C*-seminorms. Pro-C*-algebras appear in the study of certain aspects of C*-algebras such as tangent algebras of C*-algebras, domain of closed $*$-derivations on C*-algebras, multipliers of Pedersen's ideal, and noncommutative analogues of classical Lie groups. These algebras were first introduced by Inoue [6] who called them locally C*-algebras and studied more in [1, 4, 12] with different names. A right *pre-Hilbert module* over a pro-C*-algebra A is a right A-module E, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an A-valued inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_A : E\times E\to A\ \ (x,y)\mapsto\langle x,y\rangle_A$, which is A-linear in the second variable y and has the properties:

$$\langle x,y\rangle_A=\langle y,x\rangle_A^*,\ \text{and}\ \langle x,x\rangle_A\geq 0\ \ \text{with equality if and only if}\ x=0.$$

A right pre-Hilbert A-module E is a right Hilbert A-module if E is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms $\{\overline{p}^A\}_{p\in S(A)}$ where $\overline{p}^A(\xi)=\sqrt{p(\langle\xi,\xi\rangle_A)}$, $\xi\in E$. The notion of a left Hilbert A-module is defined in an analogous way. Denote by $\langle E,E\rangle_A$ the closure of the linear span of all $\langle x,y\rangle_A, x,y\in E$. We call E is *full* if $\langle E,E\rangle_A=A$. One can always consider any right Hilbert module over pro-C*-algebra A as a full Hilbert module over pro-C*-algebra $\langle E,E\rangle_A$. Hilbert modules over pro-C*-algebras have been studied in the book [7] and the papers [8, 12, 17].

In 1992, Becker [2, Proposition 2] proved that every derivation on a pro-C*-algebra is continuous. He also proved that commutative pro-C*-algebras have no nonzero derivations [2, Corollary 3]. In 1995, Phillips [13, Theorem 3] proved that every derivation on a pro-C*-algebra is approximately inner. A result of J. Ringrose states that every derivation of a C*-algebra A into a Banach A-bimodule is continuous. Weigt and Zarakas [19] proved some extensions of this result in the context of pro-C*-bimodules and complete locally convex bimodules. In [20], Zarakas introduced the notion of a Hilbert pro-C*-bimodule and proved that every derivation of a pro-C*-algebra into a Hilbert pro-C*-bimodule is continuous. For a detailed survey of derivations of pro-C*-algebras, see [5].

Let A be a pro-C*-algebra and E be a right Hilbert module over A. A map $T:E\to E$ is called adjointable if there exists a map $T^*:E\to E$ with the property $\langle Tx,y\rangle_A=\langle x,T^*y\rangle_A$ for all $x,y\in E$. The set $L_A(E)$ of all adjointable maps on E is a pro-C*-algebra with the topology defined by the family of C*-seminorms $\{\tilde{p}\}_{p\in S(A)}$, in which, $\tilde{p}(T)=\sup\{\overline{p}^A(Tx): x\in E,\overline{p}^A(x)\leq 1\}$. The set of all compact operators $K_A(E)$ on E is defined as the closure of

the set of all finite linear combinations of the operators $\{\theta_{x,y} :\ \theta_{x,y}(\xi) = x\langle y, \xi\rangle_A,\ x, y, \xi \in E\}$. It is a pro-C*-subalgebra and a two sided ideal of $L_A(E)$.

Derivations on the algebra of operators on Hilbert C*-modules have been recently studied in the papers [11, 14, 15]. Li et al. [10, Theorem 2.3] studied the relation between the innerness of derivations on $K_A(E)$ and $L_A(E)$ and proved that if A is a σ-unital commutative C*-algebra and E is a full Hilbert A-module, then every derivation on $L_A(E)$ is inner if every derivation on $K_A(E)$ is inner. In this paper, we prove the above result in the context of pro-C*-algebras and we show that the assumptions of σ-unitality and commutativity of A are not required in this theorem. We also consider derivations on the algebra of operators of Hilbert pro-C*-bimodules and we prove that if A is a commutative pro-C*-algebra and E is a Hilbert A-bimodule, then every derivation on $K_A(E)$ is zero. Furthermore, we show that if A is a commutative σ-C*-algebra and E is a Hilbert A-bimodule, then every derivation on $L_A(E)$ is zero.

2. Preliminaries

Let A be a pro-C*-algebra and let $S(A)$ be the set of all continuous C*-seminorms on A and $p \in S(A)$. We set $N_p = \{a \in A :\ p(a) = 0\}$ then $A_p = A/N_p$ is a C*-algebra in the norm induced by p. For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, the surjective morphisms $\pi_{pq} : A_p \to A_q$ defined by $\pi_{pq}(a+N_p) = a+N_q$ induce the inverse system $\{A_p; \pi_{pq}\}_{p,q\in S(A),\, p\geq q}$ of C*-algebras and $A = \varprojlim_p A_p$, i.e. the pro-C*-algebra A can be identified with $\varprojlim_p A_p$. The canonical map from A onto A_p is denoted by π_p and a_p is reserved to denote $a + N_p$. A morphism of pro-C*-algebras is a continuous morphism of $*$-algebras. An isomorphism of pro-C*-algebras is a morphism of pro-C*-algebras which possesses an inverse morphism of pro-C*-algebras.

An approximate unit of a pro-C*-algebra A is an increasing net $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of positive elements of A such that $p(e_i) \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$ and $p \in S(A)$; $p(ae_i - a) \to 0$ and $p(e_ia - a) \to 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$ and $a \in A$. Any pro-C*-algebra has an approximate unit.

Let E be a left and right Hilbert module over a pro-C*-algebra A. Denote by ${}_A\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_A$ the left and right A-valued inner products on E. Then there are two locally convex topologies defined on E. One denoted by τ^A, induced by the seminorms $\{\overline{p}^A\}_{p\in S(A)}$ corresponding to its structure as a right Hilbert A-module and the other, denoted by ${}^A\tau$, induced by the seminorms $\{{}^A\overline{p}\}_{p\in S(A)}$, corresponding to its structure as a left Hilbert A-module. Zarakas [20, Corollary 3.2] proved that if ${}_A\langle x, y\rangle z = x\langle y, z\rangle_A$ for all $x, y, z \in E$ and we assume continuity of the left (resp. right) module action, in the sense that

$$\overline{p}^A(ax) \leq p(a)\overline{p}^A(x), \quad {}^A\overline{p}(xa) \leq {}^A\overline{p}(x)p(a), \quad x \in E, a \in A, \tag{2.1}$$

then $\overline{p}^A(x) = {}^A\overline{p}(x)$, for all $x \in E$ and so two topologies ${}^A\tau$ and τ^A on E coincide. Based on this fact he defined the notion of Hilbert pro-C*-bimodule as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a pro-C*-algebra and E be a left and right Hilbert A-module. If condition (2.1) is satisfied and ${}_A\langle x, y\rangle z = x\langle y, z\rangle_A$ for all $x, y, z \in E$, then we say that E is a Hilbert pro-C*-bimodule over A or a Hilbert A-bimodule.

Suppose E is a right Hilbert A-module. For each $p \in S(A), N_p^E = \{\xi \in E : \ \overline{p}^A(\xi) = 0\}$ is a closed submodule of E and $E_p = E/N_p^E$ is a right Hilbert A_p-module with the action $(\xi + N_p^E)\pi_p(a) = \xi a + N_p^E$ and the inner product $\langle \xi + N_p^E, \eta + N_p^E\rangle_{A_p} = \pi_p(\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_A)$. The canonical map from E onto E_p is denoted by σ_p^E and ξ_p is reserved to denote $\sigma_p^E(\xi)$. For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, the surjective morphisms $\sigma_{pq}^E : E_p \to E_q$ defined by $\sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_q^E(\xi)$ induce the inverse system $\{E_p;\ A_p;\ \sigma_{pq}^E,\ \pi_{pq}\}_{p,q\in S(A), p\geq q}$ of right Hilbert C*-modules. In this case, $\varprojlim_p E_p$ is a right Hilbert A-module which can be identified with E. Let E and F be right Hilbert A-modules and $T : E \to F$ be an A-module map. The module map T is called bounded if for each $p \in S(A)$, there is $k_p > 0$ such that $\overline{p}_F^A(Tx) \leq k_p\, \overline{p}_E^A(x)$ for all $x \in E$. The set $L_A(E, F)$ of all bounded adjointable A-module maps from E into F becomes a locally convex space with the topology defined by the family of seminorms $\{\tilde{p}\}_{p\in S(A)}$, where $\tilde{p}(T) = \|(\pi_p)_*(T)\|_{L_{A_p}(E_p,F_p)}$ and $(\pi_p)_* : L_A(E, F) \to L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ is defined by $(\pi_p)_*(T)(\xi + N_p^E) = T\xi + N_p^F$, for all $T \in L_A(E, F)$ and $\xi \in E$. For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, the morphisms $(\pi_{pq})_* : L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p) \to L_{A_q}(E_q, F_q)$ defined by $(\pi_{pq})_*(T_p)(\sigma_q^E(\xi)) = \sigma_{pq}^F(T_p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)))$ induce the inverse system $\{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p);\ (\pi_{pq})_*\}_{p,q\in S(A), p\geq q}$ of Banach spaces such that $\varprojlim_p L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ can be identified to $L_A(E, F)$. In particular, topologizing, $L_A(E, E)$ becomes a pro-C*-algebra which is abbreviated by $L_A(E)$. The set of all compact operators $K_A(E)$ on E is defined as the closed linear subspace of $L_A(E)$ spanned by $\{\theta_{x,y} : \ \theta_{x,y}(\xi) = x\langle y, \xi\rangle$ for all $x, y, \xi \in E\}$. This is a pro-C*-subalgebra and a two sided ideal of $L_A(E)$, moreover, $K_A(E)$ can be identified to $\varprojlim_p K_{A_p}(E_p)$.

3. Main results

The following theorem states that the innerness of derivations on $K_A(E)$ implies the innerness of derivations on $L_A(E)$. By this theorem, the assumption of σ-unitality and commutativity of A in [10, Theorem 2.3] can be removed.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a pro-C*-algebra and let E be a full right Hilbert A-module. If every derivation on $K_A(E)$ is inner, then any derivation on $L_A(E)$ is also inner.*

Proof. Let D be a derivation on $L_A(E)$. We claim that D maps $K_A(E)$ to itself. To see this, suppose $x, y \in E$ and apply [7, Corollary 1.3.11], there exist $a \in A$ and $z \in E$ such that $x = za$. Since E is full, there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $\langle E, E\rangle$ such that $p(a_n - a) \to 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$. Let $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \langle x_{in}, y_{in}\rangle$ where $x_{in}, y_{in} \in E$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\theta_{za_n,y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} D(\theta_{z\langle x_{in},y_{in}\rangle,y}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} D(\theta_{z,x_{in}}\theta_{y_{in},y}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} D(\theta_{z,x_{in}})\theta_{y_{in},y} + \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \theta_{z,x_{in}} D(\theta_{y_{in},y}) \in K_A(E). \end{aligned}$$

Let $p \in S(A)$ and $w \in E$ such that $\overline{p}^A(w) \leq 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{p}^A((\theta_{za_n,y} - \theta_{za,y})(w))^2 &= p(\langle y, w\rangle^*)p(\langle za_n - za, za_n - za\rangle)p(\langle y, w\rangle) \\ &\leq \overline{p}^A(y)^2\overline{p}^A(z)^2 p(a_n - a)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\tilde{p}(\theta_{za_n,y} - \theta_{x,y}) \to 0$. Consequently, $\theta_{za_n,y} \to \theta_{x,y}$ in $L_A(E)$ with respect to the topology generated by $\{\tilde{p}\}_{p\in S(A)}$. It follows from [2, Proposition 2] that D maps $K_A(E)$ into itself. Since every derivation on $K_A(E)$ is inner, there exists $T \in K_A(E)$ such that $D(K) = TK - KT$, for all $K \in K_A(E)$. Let $S \in L_A(E)$ and $x, y \in E$ then $D(S\theta_{x,y}) = TS\theta_{x,y} - S\theta_{x,y}T$. The latter equality and the fact that

$$D(S\theta_{x,y}) = D(S)\theta_{x,y} + SD(\theta_{x,y}) = D(S)\theta_{x,y} + ST\theta_{x,y} - S\theta_{x,y}T,$$

imply $D(S)\theta_{x,y} = TS\theta_{x,y} - ST\theta_{x,y}$. Let $w \in E$ then there exist $z \in E$ and $a \in A$ such that $w = za$. Since E is full, there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $\langle E, E\rangle$ such that $p(a_n - a) \to 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$. Let $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \langle x_{in}, y_{in}\rangle$ then

$$\begin{aligned} D(S)(za_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} D(S)(z\langle x_{in}, y_{in}\rangle) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} D(S)\theta_{z,x_{in}}(y_{in}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} (TS\theta_{z,x_{in}} - ST\theta_{z,x_{in}})(y_{in}) \\ &= (TS - ST)(za_n). \end{aligned}$$

For all $p \in S(A)$, $\overline{p}_A(za_n - za) \leq \overline{p}_A(z)p(a_n - a)$ and so $za_n \to za$ in E. Hence, continuity of $D(S)$ and $TS - ST$ implies that $D(S)(w) = (TS - ST)(w)$ for all $w \in E$, that is, $D(S) = TS - ST$. □

Remark 3.2. Let A be a σ-C*-algebra and let E be a Hilbert A-bimodule. Denote by ${}_AI$ the closure of the two-sided ideal $\text{span}\{{}_A\langle x, y\rangle : x, y \in E\}$. By [20, Lemma 6.4], ${}_AI$ has an

approximate unit $\{u_\alpha\}$ with $u_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} {}_A\langle x_i^\alpha, x_i^\alpha\rangle$, where $\alpha = \{y_1, ..., y_n\} \subset E$ ranges over finite subsets of E and $x_i^\alpha = (\sum_{j=1}^{n} {}_A\langle y_j, y_j\rangle + \frac{1}{n}1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, y_i$, $i = 1, ..., n$, in which 1 is the identity of the unitization of A. Zarakas considered a realization of $K_A(E)$, through the closed two-sided $*$-ideal ${}_AI$. Indeed, he proved that ${}_AI \simeq K_A(E)$ is a topological $*$-isomorphism [20, Theorem 6.5]. This theorem can be regarded as an extension of a result of Brown, Mingo and Shen [3, proposition 1.10] for Hilbert C*-bimodules. In view of this fact, it is easy to see that $\{T_\alpha\}$, where $T_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{x_i^\alpha, x_i^\alpha}$, is an approximate unit for $K_A(E)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Every derivation of a pro-C*-algebra annihilates its center.*

Proof. Let D be a derivation on a pro-C*-algebra A. For each $p \in S(A)$, we consider the linear map $D_p : A_p \to A_p$ defined by $D_p(\pi_p(a)) = \pi_p(D(a))$, where $a \in A$. Let $a \in N_p$. By [2, Lemma 1], there exist elements $a_k \in N_p, k = 1, ..., 4$ such that $a = \sum_{k=1}^{4} i^k a_k^2$. Since $p(D(a)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{4} p(D(a_k)a_k + a_k D(a_k)) = 0$, we have $D(a) \in N_p$ which shows that D_p is well-defined. It is easy to see that D_p is a derivation on A_p. Denote by $Z(A)$ the center of A and let $a \in Z(A)$. Then $\pi_p(a)$ is in the center of A_p, for every $p \in S(A)$. It is well-known that every derivation on a C*-algebra annihilates its center [9, Theorem 2], so $D_p(\pi_p(a)) = 0$ for every $p \in S(A)$. It follows that $\pi_p(D(a)) = 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$. Since $S(A)$ is a separating family of C*-seminorms, we have $D(a) = 0$. □

Remark 3.4. Let A be a pro-C*-algebra and let E be a Hilbert A-bimodule. If A is commutative, then $K_A(E)$ is commutative. Indeed, for every $x, y, z, u, v \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{x,y}\theta_{u,v}(z) = \theta_{x.\langle y,u\rangle_A, v}(z) = x.\langle y, u\rangle_A\langle v, z\rangle_A &= {}_A\langle x, y\rangle u.\langle v, z\rangle_A \\ &= {}_A\langle x, y\rangle_A\langle u, v\rangle.z\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{u,v}\theta_{x,y}(z) = \theta_{u.\langle v,x\rangle_A, y}(z) = u.\langle v, x\rangle_A\langle y, z\rangle_A &= {}_A\langle u, v\rangle x.\langle y, z\rangle_A \\ &= {}_A\langle u, v\rangle_A\langle x, y\rangle.z.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\theta_{x,y}\theta_{u,v} = \theta_{u,v}\theta_{x,y}$ for all $x, y \in E$, which implies the commutativity of $K_A(E)$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let A be a pro-C*-algebra and let E be a Hilbert A-bimodule. If A is commutative then every derivation on $K_A(E)$ is zero.*

The proof follows from Proposition 3.4, Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $K_A(E)$ is a pro-C*-algebra.

Theorem 3.6. *Let A be a commutative σ-C^*-algebra and let E be a Hilbert A-bimodule. Then every derivation on $L_A(E)$ is zero.*

Proof. Let D be a derivation on $L_A(E)$ and let $\{T_\alpha\}$ be as in Remark 3.2. First we show that D maps $K_A(E)$ to itself. Let $x, y \in E$ then $D(\theta_{x,y}T_\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n D(\theta_{x,y}\theta_{x_i^\alpha,x_i^\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^n D(\theta_{x,y})\theta_{x_i^\alpha,x_i^\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{x,y}D(\theta_{x_i^\alpha,x_i^\alpha}) \in K_A(E)$. Continuity of D and closeness of $K_A(E)$ in $L_A(E)$ imply that $D(\theta_{x,y}) \in K_A(E)$ for all $x, y \in E$. Consequently, the restriction of D to $K_A(E)$ is a derivation on $K_A(E)$ which is zero by Corollary 3.5. Let $S \in L_A(E)$ then $D(S)\theta_{x,y} = D(S\theta_{x,y}) - SD(\theta_{x,y}) = 0$ for all $x, y \in E$. In particular, $D(S)\theta_{x,D(S)x} = 0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(S)(x)\langle D(S)(x), D(S)(x)\rangle &= D(S)(x\langle D(S)(x), D(S)(x)\rangle) \\ &= D(S)\theta_{x,D(S)(x)}(D(S)(x)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|D(S)(x)|^4 = \langle D(S)(x)\langle D(S)(x), D(S)(x)\rangle, D(S)(x)\rangle = 0$, i.e. $D(S)(x) = 0$, for all $x \in E$. □

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and useful comments.

References

[1] C. Apostol, b*-algebras and their representaion, *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 30–38.

[2] R. Becker, Derivations on LMC*-algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **155** (1992), 141–149.

[3] L. G. Brown, J. A. Mingo and N. T. Shen, Quasimultipliers and embeddings of Hilbert C*-modules. *Canad. J. Math.* **46** (1994), 1150–1174.

[4] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Topological algebras with involution*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 2005.

[5] M. Fragoulopoulou, M. Weigt and I. Zarakas, Derivations of locally convex *-algebras, *Extracta Math.* **26** (2011), 45–60.

[6] A. Inoue, Locally C*-algebras, *Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A* **25** (1971), 197–235.

[7] M. Joita, *Hilbert modules over locally C*-algebras*, University of Bucharest Press, 2006.

[8] M. Joita, Crossed products of pro-C*-algebras and Hilbert pro-C*-modules, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **38** (2015), 1053-1065

[9] R. V. Kadison, Derivations of operator algebras, *Ann. Math.*, **83(2)** (1966), 280-293 .

[10] P. Li, D. Han and W. Tang, Derivations on the algebra of operatores in Hilbert C*-modules, *Acta Math. Sinica*, English ser. **28** (8) (2012), 1615–1622.

[11] M. K. Moghadam, M. Miri and A. R. Janfada, A note on derivations on the algebra of operators in Hilbert C*-modules, *Mediterr. J. Math.* DOI 10.1007/s00009-015-0538-y, Published online 25 February 2015.

[12] N. C. Phillips, Inverse limit of C*-algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **19** (1988), 159–195.

[13] N. C. Phillips, Inner derivations on σ-C*-algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **176**, (1995), 243-247.

[14] A. Sahleh and L. Najarpisheh, Derivations of operators on Hilbert modules, *Gen. Math. Notes* **24** (2014), 52–57.

[15] H. Saidi, A. R. Janfada and M Mirzavaziri, Kinds of derivations on Hilbert C*-modules and their operator algebras, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes* **16** (2015), 453–461.

[16] S. Sakai, *Operator algebras in dynamical systems: The theory of unbounded derivations in C*-algebras*, Encyclipedia of Mathematics and its applications, 41, Cambridge University Press, 1991.

[17] K. Sharifi, Generic properties of module maps and characterizing inverse limits of C*-algebras of compact operators, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **36** (2013), 481-489.

[18] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, Derivations on commutative normed algebras, *Math. Ann.* 126 (1955), 260-264.

[19] M. Weigt and I. Zarakas, A note on derivations of pro-C*-algebras into complete locally convex bimodules, *New Zealand J. Math* **41** (2011), 143–152.

[20] I. Zarakas, Hilbert pro-C*-bimodules and applications, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **17** (2012), 289–310.

Khadijeh Karimi,

Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 3619995161-316, Shahrood, Iran.

E-mail address: kh_karimi5005@yahoo.com

Kamran Sharifi,

Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 3619995161-316, Shahrood, Iran.

E-mail address: sharifi.kamran@gmail.com